COMMENTARY Open Access

CrossMark

Sex and Gender Medical Education Summit: a roadmap for curricular innovation

Eliza L. Chin^{1*}, Marley Hoggatt², Alyson J. McGregor³, Mary K. Rojek⁴, Kimberly Templeton⁵, Robert Casanova⁶, Wendy S. Klein⁷, Virginia M. Miller⁸ and Marjorie Jenkins²

From Sex and gender based medical education summit Rochester, MN, USA. 18-19 October 2015

Abstract

The Sex and Gender Medical Education Summit: a roadmap for curricular innovation was a collaborative initiative of the American Medical Women's Association, Laura W. Bush Institute for Women's Health, Mayo Clinic, and Society for Women's Health Research (www.sgbmeducationsummit.com). It was held on October 18–19, 2015 to provide a unique venue for collaboration among nationally and internationally renowned experts in developing a roadmap for the incorporation of sex and gender based concepts into medical education curricula. The Summit engaged 148 in-person attendees for the 1 1/2-day program. Pre- and post-Summit surveys assessed the impact of the Summit, and workshop discussions provided a framework for informal consensus building. Sixty-one percent of attendees indicated that the Summit had increased their awareness of the importance of sex and gender specific medicine. Other comments indicate that the Summit had a significant impact for motivating a call to action among attendees and provided resources to initiate change in curricula within their home institutions. These educational efforts will help to ensure a sex and gender basis for delivery of health care in the future.

Background

Sex and gender based medicine (SGBM) is the science of similarities and differences in the human biology of men and women, both in health and disease. This field has its roots in the women's health movement but has gone further to consider the biology and pathophysiology of disease as well as the sociocultural influences for both women and men. A primary impetus for the emergence of SGBM was the increasing awareness that research conducted with white males might not apply to women or other ethnic groups [1, 2]. As a result, the 1993 National Institutes of Health (NIH) Revitalization Act mandated that researchers include both women and minorities in clinical research [3]. Though studies now include women, differences in outcomes are not consistently assessed or reported by sex, making it difficult to

know how, or if, related recommendations can or should be applied to either sex.

A 2001 Institute of Medicine (IOM) report emphasized that sex-based differences were due to more than hormonal differences and that "every cell has a sex" [2]. Subsequently, both the Federal Drug Administration (FDA) and NIH have expanded requirements that both vertebrate and human research include males and females and that collective data should be analyzed by sex as an independent variable. In addition, the sex of isolated or cultured cells should be identified. The report also clarified the terminology "sex" and "gender." In broad terms, sex is a biological construct where living things are characterized as male or female according to chromosomal complement and reproductive organs [4]. Gender refers to a person's self-representation and behaviors as man or woman within the context of social structure and culture [5, 6]. Sex and gender are interrelated in terms of health and illness, such that one's social environment and behaviors, both of which are gendered, influence one's biology. For example, both men and

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



^{*} Correspondence: elizachin_md@yahoo.com

¹American Medical Women's Association, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

women with acute coronary syndrome (ACS) often present with chest pain but their descriptions of pain and associated symptoms may vary, demonstrating sex differences in the pathophysiology of ACS and gender variations in reporting [7, 8]. Both variables must be considered in research as well as in medical education and practice.

Despite progress in women's health research, the IOM report indicated that significant gaps remained in the application of research findings to improve patient care [2]. Applying the findings from research conducted in men to the clinical care of women has contributed to gender disparities in healthcare [9, 10]. These disparities result from biological differences in etiology and presentation of disease, differences in pharmacokinetics leading to ineffective treatment or drug toxicity, or conscious or unconscious gender bias in the physician-patient interaction [11–13].

These gaps demonstrate the need for additional research but also the need for the inclusion of sex and gender based medical concepts in all levels of health professional curricula. The majority of US medical schools do not have a formal sex and gender specific integrated medical curriculum [14]. Therefore, educational reform will be a key factor in shifting this paradigm. Topics included under the rubric of "women's health" or "men's health" can no longer be limited to reproductive issues or only those conditions that can be observed in a single sex, e.g., prostate cancer. Rather, SGBM in medical education must include a discussion of similarities and differences between sexes and genders in the etiology, risk factors, prevention, presentation, and response to treatment for all health conditions. It is within this context that the Sex and Gender Medical Education Summit was planned.

Methods

Conference planning

In 2012, a 2 day workshop was convened at the Mayo Clinic with leaders from 13 medical and public health institutions, governmental agencies, and the Canadian Institute of Health and Gender (Table 1) to discuss the need for integrating SGBM into medical education and training, as well as to develop implementation strategies to bring about this change. Recommendations from the workshop addressed institutional engagement and the need to provide teaching materials that could readily be integrated into established curricula [15].

In 2014, the American Medical Women's Association (AMWA) and the Laura W. Bush Institute for Women's Health (LWBIWH) convened a planning group (Table 2) to develop a Sex and Gender Medical Education (SGME) Summit for the purpose of increasing SGBM education on a national scale and ensuring that the next generation of physicians would be competent in this field. Leaders from medical school institutions and professional

associations were invited to join a senior advisory committee (Table 3) to provide input on the Summit program. Initial objectives for the Summit were to (a) review the current climate of sex and gender education in medical schools, (b) provide curricular resources for schools of medicine, (c) align SGBM with required Liaison Committee on Medical Education (LCME) Accreditation Standards, and (d) identify present and future needs in closing these gaps in medical education. Mayo Clinic was chosen as the host site and CME provider, with the AMWA, the LWBIWH, and the Society for Women's Health Research (SWHR) as joint providers.

Medical schools and osteopathic schools in the USA and Canada were invited to send a representative to the Summit. Engagement occurred through a combination of email invitations, letters, phone calls, announcements through the Association of American Medical Colleges (AAMC), and grassroots efforts. To encourage participation, educational grants were provided to cover registration and lodging for one designated representative from each participating institution. An effort was made to recruit key faculty who would be instrumental in developing, implementing, and assessing outcomes of medical curricula at their institutions.

The SGME Summit

The 1 1/2 day program included a keynote address, ten educational sessions, two panel discussions, a poster session, and two concurrent workshops (Table 4). The Summit faculty included nationally renowned SGBM experts as well as leaders in medical education and curriculum development (Table 5). The panel discussions, with representatives from the U.S. and international institutions, highlighted the different methodologies and models for integrating SGBM content into medical education, for example, a fully integrated curriculum or adoption of a module that students could complete online. The poster sessions allowed individuals to display and discuss their work with other attendees. The workshops considered two topics-utilization of SGBM resources in medical schools and SGBM student competencies. Attendees selected which workshop they wanted to attend. In conjunction with a facilitator, they discussed the topic and developed consensus points for each group which were reported back to the larger group. Pre- and post-tests were disseminated electronically to document attendees' experience and knowledge in SGBM.

Post-Summit work

Following the Summit, a toolkit and detailed summary proceedings were disseminated electronically and in print to all attendees, participating institutions, supporting organizations, national medical associations, and individuals in

Table 1 Attendees of the 2012 Mayo Clinic 2 day workshop on "Embedding Concepts of Sex and Gender Health Differences into Medical Curricula"

into Medical Curricula"			
Name	Affiliation		
Carl F. Anderson, MD	Mayo Clinic		
Delia M. Camacho, PhD	School of Health Professions University of Puerto Rico Medical Sciences		
Janine Austin Clayton, MD	Director, Office of Research for Women's Health, National Institute of Health		
Shivani Dhawan, BS	Cedars-Sinai Heart Institute, Women's Heart Center		
Richard Dickerson, PhD	Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center		
Priscilla M. Flynn, DrPH	School of Dentistry, University of Minnesota		
Salma Iftikhar, MD	Mayo Clinic		
Marjorie Jenkins, MD, MEHP	Professor of Medicine, Division of Women's Health and Gender-Specific Medicine, Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center		
Jani R. Jensen, MD	Mayo Clinic		
Joy Johnson, PhD, RN, FCAHS	Scientific Director, Institute of Gender and Health, Canadian Institutes of Health Research University of British Columbia		
Sabrina A. Matoff-Stepp, PhD	Director, HRSA Office of Women's Health		
Bradley B. Miller, MD	Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center		
Virginia M. Miller, PhD	Professor, Surgery and Physiology, Mayo Clinic Immediate Past President of the Organization for the Study of Sex Differences		
Ana E. Núñez, MD	Director of the Center of Excellence and Women's Health Education Program, Drexel University College of Medicine		
Cheri L. Olson, MD	Mayo Clinic Health System		
Limor Raz, PhD	Mayo Clinic		
CDR Morrisa Rice, MHA	Senior Public Health Analyst HRSA Office of Women's Health		
Jane F. Reckelhoff, PhD	University of Mississippi Medical Center, Women's Health Research Center		
April E. Ronca, PhD	Wake Forest School of Medicine		
Matthew A. Saracusa	Cedars-Sinai Medical Center		
Londa Schiebinger, PhD	John L. Hinds Professor of History of Science Director of the EU/US Gendered Innovations in Science, Health and Medicine, and Engineering Project, Stanford University		
Lynne T. Shuster, MD	Director, Office of Women's Health		
	Consultant, Women's Health Clinic		
	Mayo Clinic		
Thomas R. Viggiano, MD, MEd	Associate Dean for Faculty Affairs at Mayo Medical School, Professor, College of Medicine, Mayo Clinic		
Janet Vittone, MD	Consultant in General Internal Medicine		

Table 1 Attendees of the 2012 Mayo Clinic 2 day workshop on "Embedding Concepts of Sex and Gender Health Differences into Medical Curricula" *(Continued)*

	Director of the Jacobs Institute of Women's Health George Washington University School of Public Health and Health Services		
Kimberly Templeton, MD	University of Kansas		
Adapted from Miller VM, Rice M, Schiebinger L, Jenkins MR, Werbinski J, Nunez A, Wood S, Viggiano TR, Shuster LT, Embedding Concepts of Sex and Gender Health Differences into Medical Curricula, J Womens Health 22(3), page 201, 2013			

other networks. A work group was convened to develop a set of sex and gender medical student competencies. Follow-up surveys were developed to assess the impact of the Summit on the advancement of SGBM within medical

Results

education curricula.

[15, Appendix 1]

Attendees

Attendees (n = 148: 119 females, 29 males) represented the spectrum of health and research credentials (Table 6).

Table 2 SGME Summit planning committee members

Planning committee members		
Marjorie Jenkins, MD, MEHP, FACP (Chair)	Professor of Medicine and Chief Scientific Officer Laura W. Bush Institute for Women's Health Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center	
Eliza Lo Chin, MD, MPH, FACP (Co-Chair)	Executive Director American Medical Women's Association Assistant Clinical Professor of Medicine University of California, San Francisco	
Virginia Miller, PhD (Host Co-chair)	Professor and Director, Women's Health Research Center, Mayo Clinic	
Robert Casanova, MD	Assistant Dean of Clinical Sciences Curriculum Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center	
Wendy S. Klein, MD, MACP	Associate Professor Emeritus, Virginia Commonwealth University School of Medicine	
Alyson J. McGregor, MD, MA, FACEP	Director, Division of Sex and Gender in Emergency Medicine Associate Professor of Emergency Medicine Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University	
Kimberly Templeton, MD	Professor of Orthopedic Surgery and Health Policy and Management, University of Kansas School of Medicine, President-elect, American Medical Women's Association	
Jan Werbinski, MD, FACOG	Executive Director Sex and Gender Women's Health Collaborative	

Table 3 SGME Summit senior advisory committee members

Senior advisory committee members		Summit agenda		
Steven L. Berk, MD Provost and Dean		Sunday, October 18, 2015		
Humayun J. Chaudhry, DO,	Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center President and CEO	Keynote: Taking Sex and Gender from the Bench to the Bedside Requires the Classroom		
MS, MACP, FACOI Federation of State Medical Boards		Sex and Gender Medicine - What It Is and What It Isn't		
Phyllis E. Greenberger, MSW	President and CEO, Society for Women's Health Research	International Sex and Gender Curriculum Panel and Discussion		
١	Professor of Medicine, Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center, Permian	Poster Session		
		Monday, October 19, 2015		
Consta Anna Internacy MD	Basin	Sex and Gender in Research and Education: The Federal Landscape		
Cynda Ann Johnson, MD, MBA	President and Dean, Virginia Tech Carilion School of Medicine and Research Institute	Sex and Gender in Medicine: Patient and Provider Considerations		
MD Affairs Paul L. Foster School of Medicine Texas Tech University Health Sciences	Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs	What Students Think about Sex and Gender Based Medicine: Results of a National Climate Survey		
	Texas Tech University Health Sciences	Where to Go When You Want to Know – Sex and Gender Based Medicine Education Resources		
Center Tedd Mitchell, MD President Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center		Lessons from the Field: Models of Sex and Gender Based Curricula		
		Avoiding the Shoehorn: Strategies for Incorporating New Curricular Content		
Theresa Rohr-Kirchgraber,	President, American Medical Women's	Integrating New Curricular Content: Think Assessment First		
MD, FACP	Association	Introduction of an LGBT Curriculum at the University of California,		
Robert D. Simari, MD	Executive Dean	San Francisco		
University of Kansas School of Medicine Connie Tyne, MS Executive Director Laura W. Bush Institute for Women's Health	Sex and Gender Based Medicine in Interprofessional Education: Putting it All Together			
		Workshop A: Utilization of SGBM Resources in U.S. Medical Schools: Overcoming Barriers to Achieve Action		
Steven E. Weinberger, MD, FACP	Executive Vice President and Chief Executive Officer American College of Physicians	Workshop B: Creating SGBM Student Competencies in Alignment with the AAMC		
	American College of Fifysicians	From Roadmap to Reality: Your Role as a Change Agent		

Participants' knowledge and attitudes

Results of the Summit were based on pre- and post-Summit surveys. A pre-test was made available to participants via email before the Summit. A post-test was distributed via email after the Summit. Sixty-seven participants completed the pre-test, and 62 (unmatched) participants completed the post-test. These assessments were comprised of yes/no and Likert scale questions. They were intended to ascertain participants' attitudes and knowledge of SGBM and level of SGBM education currently in place at participants' institutions. The final questions assessed participants' satisfaction with the Summit itself, including interest in attending a second event. The participants were also able to provide openended comments about their Summit experience.

Participants' familiarity with the topic of sex and gender differences in health and diseases increased from 81 % in the pre-test to 93 % in the post-test (strongly agree/agree). When asked if they believed the FDA should consider recommending dosages based on the sex of the patient, 69 % of the participants agreed (strongly agree/agree) on the pre-test and 97 % agreed (strongly agree/agree) on the post-test, an increase of 28 %. One of the most dramatic attitudinal shifts was in

participants' response to the statement "Sex and gender based medicine is a fundamental aspect of precision medicine." Forty percent of the respondents strongly agreed in the pre-test, while 81 % strongly agreed on the post-test, an increase of 41 % (Table 7) [16].

Workshop outcomes

Table 4 SGME Summit agenda

Concurrent workshops were conducted in an effort to establish the framework necessary for the successful creation of national medical student competencies in SGBM. Workshop A, "Utilization of SGBM Resources in U.S. Medical Schools: Overcoming Barriers to Achieve Action," focused on participants' input regarding experiences at their corresponding institutions with novel curricular integration and implementation. The participants were given pre-work assignments which included questions regarding each individual's experiences with initiating educational projects at their own institution and recommended strategies for incorporating SGBM. Although no formal consensus building process was utilized, the workshops provided a framework for discussion. The ensuing discussion resulted in three common themes: (1) participants felt strongly that SGBM material should be presented as a longitudinal curriculum thread woven into

Table 5 SGME Summit speakers

Speakers and contributors

Bethany Applebaum, MPH, MA

Public Health Analyst

Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA)

US Department of Health and Human Services

C. Noel Bairey Merz, MD, FACC, FAHA

Women's Guild Endowed Chair in Women's Health

Director, Barbra Streisand Women's Heart Center

Director, Linda Joy Poling Women's Heart Health Program

Director, Preventive Cardiac Center

Professor of Medicine, Cedars-Sinai Heart Institute

Jabbar R. Bennett, PhD

Associate Provost, Diversity and Inclusion

Associate Professor of Medicine

Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University

Richard A. Berger, MD, PhD

Professor of Orthopedic Surgery and Anatomy

Dean, Mayo School of Continuous Professional Development

Medical Director, Mayo Clinic Online Learning

Ann Bonham, PhD

Chief Scientific Officer

Association of American Medical Colleges

Ruth Bush, MD, JD, MPH

Vice Dean for Academic Affairs

Professor of Surgery

Texas A&M Health Science Center College of Medicine

Robert Casanova, MD

Assistant Dean of Clinical Sciences Curriculum

Associate Professor, Program Director Obstetrics and Gynecology

Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center, Lubbock

Eliza Lo Chin, MD, MPH, FACP

Summit Co-Chair

Executive Director, American Medical Women's Association

Assistant Clinical Professor of Medicine

University of California, San Francisco

Terri L. Cornelison, MD, PhD, FACOG

Associate Director for Clinical Research

Captain, United States Public Health Service Office of Research on Women's Health (ORWH)

National Institutes of Health

Gillian Einstein, MD

Associate Professor, Department of Psychology

Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto

Director, Collaborative Graduate Program in Women's Health

Visiting Professor of Neuroscience & Gender Medicine

Linköping University, Sweden

Phyllis Greenberger, MSW

President and CEO

Society for Women's Health Research

Marjorie Jenkins, MD, MEHP, FACP

Summit Chair, Professor of Medicine

Chief Scientific Officer, Laura W. Bush Institute for Women's Health

Co-Director, Sex and Gender Curriculum Program

Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center

Jani R. Jensen, MD

Assistant Professor, Obstetrics and Gynecology

Chair, Curriculum Development

Mayo Medical School

Georgios Kararigas, PhD

DZHK W1 Professor Institute of Gender in Medicine

Charité University Hospital

Table 5 SGME Summit speakers (Continued)

Karolina Kublickiene, MD, PhD

Associate Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology

CEO, Center of Gender Medicine

Senior Scientist

Department of Clinical Science Intervention and Technology

Karolinska Institutet

Marianne J. Legato, MD, FACP

Emerita Professor of Clinical Medicine

Columbia University

John Luk, MD

Assistant Dean for Interprofessional Integration

Assistant Professor of Medicine

Dell Medical School at University of Texas at Austin

Alyson J. Mcgregor, MD, MA, FACEP

Director, Division of Sex and Gender in Emergency Medicine

Associate Professor of Emergency Medicine

Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University

Alex Jeffrey Mechaber, MD

Professor of Medicine

Senior Associate Dean for Undergraduate Medical Education

University of Miami Miller School of Medicine

Bonnie M. Miller, MD

Senior Associate Dean for Health Sciences Education

Associate Vice Chancellor for Health Affairs

Professor of Medical Education Administration

Vanderbilt University School of Medicine

Virginia Miller, PhD

Professor, Departments of Physiology and Surgery

Director, Women's Health Research Center

Mayo Clinic, Rochester MN

Ana E. Núñez, MD

Professor of Medicine

Associate Dean of Urban Health Equity, Education and Research

Director, Women's Health Education Program and National Center of

Excellence in Women's Health, Drexel University College of Medicine

Janet Pregler, MD

Professor of Clinical Medicine

Director, Iris Cantor - UCLA Women's Health Center

David Geffen School of Medicine at University of California, Los Angeles

Patricia A. Robertson, MD

Inaugural Member, Haile T. Debas Academy of Medical Educators

Professor, Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Sciences, University of California San Francisco School of Medicine

Pamela E. Scott, PhD, MA

Director, Research and Development

Office of Women's Health

Food and Drug Administration (FDA) / Office of the Commissioner

Connie Tyne, MS

Executive Director

Laura W. Bush Institute for Women's Health

Jan Werbinski, MD, FACOG

Associate Clinical Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology Western Michigan University Homer Stryker School of Medicine

Executive Director, Sex and Gender Women's Health Collaborative

existing educational materials, (2) faculty development was necessary along with a multifaceted approach for integrating SGBM into existing educational materials, and (3) developing an advisory committee comprised of medical school curriculum experts to oversee the process was integral to success.

Table 6 SGME Summit attendees

Designation	Number of participants
PhD	37
MD	90
DO	5
MPH	10
Medical student	10

(n = 148, female = 119, male = 29). Note: Some participants had dual degrees

Workshop B, "Creating SGBM Student Competencies in Alignment with the Association of American Medical Colleges (AAMC)," included discussions of how best to approach development of a set of competencies in SGBM. Pre-work assignments were comprised of questions to facilitate approaches to generating SGBM competencies and strategies for their formulation. The discussion revealed broad consensus that SGBM curricula should encompass all health conditions, include both basic and clinical sciences, and utilize existing curricular

Table 7 SGME participant survey responses

	Pre (%)	Post (%)
I am familiar with the topidisease.	c of sex and gender	differences in health and
Strongly disagree	0	0
Disagree	4.5	5.1
Neutral	14.9	1.7
Agree	59.7	42.4
Strongly agree	20.9	50.8
The FDA should consider the patient.	recommending dosa	ages based on the sex of
Strongly disagree	0	0
Disagree	3	0
Neutral	28.3	3.4
Agree	41.8	30.5
Strongly agree	26.9	66.1
Sex and gender-based me medicine.	dicine is a fundame	ntal aspect of precision
Strongly disagree	0	0
Disagree	0	0
Neutral	9	3.5
Agree	50.7	15.8
Strongly agree	40.3	80.7

Note: Pre- and post-test responses were unmatched. This data was also presented in the Summit Proceedings [16]

Has this conference changed your opinion of the importance of sex and

61

22

17

gender-specific health?

Yes

Somewhat

components in women's health, emergency medicine, and lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender (LGBT) competencies because these have already been defined and overlap with concepts of sex and gender in a breadth of body systems. Engaging stakeholders such as students and faculty would be essential to attaining sustainable integration.

Conclusions from each workshop were then presented to the larger group. SGBM curricular integration, application, and synthesis must generate measurable objectives; therefore, ongoing evaluation strategies are necessary. The participants suggested using a theoretical framework to assess competency such as Miller's pyramid (knows, knows how, shows how, and does) to cover multiple competency levels and monitor the progressive achievement of measurable milestones. Workshop logistics, clear definitions and terminology, approaches to competency development, and a table outlining overall implementation strategies are presented and further discussed in an accompanying manuscript "Utilization of Sex and Gender Based Medical Education Resources and Creating Student Competencies: A Summit Workshop Summary" [17].

Participant response to the Summit

The Summit participants were asked "Has this conference changed your opinion of the importance of sex and gender-specific health?" On the post-test, 61 % of the participants responded "Yes," 22 % responded "Somewhat," and 17 % responded "No." This indicates that the Summit had an impact on the views of the vast majority of attendees.

Table 8 includes participants' comments that demonstrate the impact and the role of the Summit in serving as a call to action. Several participants outlined concrete plans for advancing SGBM in their medical school's curriculum.

Table 8 Comments from SGME participants

Comments from participants

"I will develop a proposal for our curriculum committee that we include sex and gender-specific material in all our courses and clerkships...I will also request that student assessments include items about sex- and gender-based differences."

"I plan to meet with individual course coordinators to review what sex-and gender-specific health topics are currently included in each course and discuss how additional sex- and gender-specific health topics can be integrated within each course. The resources that were made available to summit participants are outstanding, and they will facilitate the promotion of additional curricular emphasis of this area."

"We will be presenting information learned from the meeting to the next Dean's Circle and including some of the fast facts in all of our women's health lectures."

"I will be meeting with the Associate Deans of Clinical Sciences and Basic Sciences to discuss suggestions of integrating sex and gender slides and information through specific content lectures."

Recurring themes

Throughout the Summit, there appeared to be several recurring themes. The three that stand out as central to success were (1) overcoming preconceived notions about sex and gender, (2) the need for time and resources, and (3) increasing awareness.

In order to successfully implement meaningful curricular change, the administration, faculty, and learners must overcome longstanding conscious and unconscious bias about SGBM issues. Sex as a biological variable cannot be overlooked as it influences all aspects of health. While the spectrum of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer, Intersex, Asexual (LGBTQIA) health is an integral part of the dialogue, SGBM represents a much broader umbrella that encompasses a gender-based approach to all aspects of individualized care.

Medical education institutions and faculty face limitations of curricular time and resources. They would find it helpful to utilize existing content such as the Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center PubMed Search Tool and Slide Library, as well as other tools available at sites such as the Sex and Gender Women's Health Collaborative (http://www.sgwhc.org). Time issues are compounded by the limited curricular space available for incorporating new content and the complexities of "curricular reform." Threading SGBM concepts throughout current curricula might be a more effective and pragmatic approach, as demonstrated by the successful program at Charité Hospital in Germany [18].

Increasing SGBM awareness involves engaging all stake-holders: health professions' school leadership, researchers, instructors, learners, and the public. This approach has been implemented at the Alpert Medical School of Brown University's Sex and Gender in Emergency Medicine Division. This program has focused on "advanced care through person specific education and advocacy" and has used public service posters to prepare patients for a personalized emergency department experience.

Ultimately, all of these issues require a faculty champion or "change agent" who can drive curricular integration and serve as a resource. It is imperative to support these individuals' training by sponsoring attendance at national conferences where they can gain content knowledge and establish a network of like-minded individuals.

John Kotter's "8-Step Process for Leading Change" [19] can be adapted and serve as a useful guide:

- 1. Establish a sense of urgency by stressing the patient care aspect of SGBM and its immediate impact on personalized medicine
- 2. Create a guiding coalition including researchers, instructors, learners and patients
- 3. Develop a clear shared vision by accessing and building upon existing resources

- 4. Communicate the vision through events such as the SGME Summit
- Empower people to act upon the vision by recruiting other like-minded individuals
- 6. Create short term wins
- 7. Consolidate and build on the gains by facilitating dissemination
- Institutionalize the change by developing core competencies in SGBM anchored to AAMC competencies

Discussion

The impact and scope of SGBM on patient care needs to be recognized and understood in order to have sex and gender based medicine more widely adopted into health profession education. Recognizing and understanding these concepts provides a foundation for developing practical approaches to incorporate SGBM information throughout existing curricula. The SGME Summit was planned with the goals of increasing participants' awareness of the current level of knowledge regarding sex and gender differences, identifying areas where additional research is needed, highlighting gaps in medical education, providing educational resources to assist with the integration of sex and gender evidence into medical school curricula, promoting sex and gender networks, and advocating for this change. Discussions about existing curricula and teaching materials, in particular, provided practical examples of how and where this material could be included in both didactic and clinical activities. Results showed that participants perceived the Summit as valuable, both in increasing their understanding of SGBM and in providing them with resources to integrate SGBM into medical education at their respective institutions.

Critical to implementing curricular change is recognizing potential obstacles that would slow the process. LCME accreditation standards may be perceived as an obstacle. However, incorporating SGBM content into curricula can actually fulfill LCME requirements which may facilitate its adoption by medical schools. Other obstacles identified during the Summit included how to engage faculty and medical school and curricular leadership. The ultimate goal of the Summit is to encourage and facilitate adoption of dedicated SGBM education curricula into all medical schools within the next 5 years.

Conclusion

The 2015 SGME Summit represents a first of its kind event, focused on sex and gender evidence integration in medical school education. Building upon a foundational premise of quality curricular development, the Summit program included national leaders in medical education working side by side with academic clinicians, educators, and researchers, bringing an evidence-based approach to

SGME. The pre- and post-surveys confirmed that attendees were positively impacted and their knowledge, attitudes, and awareness altered by this educational experience. It would be shortsighted to believe that this educational event was enough to ensure that sex and gender evidence will be integrated throughout US medical schools. Much work remains, but the models presented during the Summit, including those that thread sex and gender into existing curricula, as well as providing model educational resources, will help advance this initiative. In addition, we will continue to work with accreditation and health professional licensure entities, student and faculty professional organizations, SGME Summit attendees, deans, and sponsors. Future efforts will also include engaging with interprofessional education efforts to launch SGBM across academic health sciences centers.

Acknowledgements

Special thanks to Donna Raef, SGME Summit Administrator, for her help in the planning logistics for the Summit and in the preparation of this manuscript.

Declarations

This article has been published as part of *Biology of Sex Differences* Volume 7 Supplement 1, 2016: Sex and Gender in Medical Education, and proceedings from the 2015 Sex and Gender Education Summit. The full contents of the supplement are available online at https://bsd.biomedcentral.com/articles/supplements/volume-7-supplement-1.

Funding

Funding support for the Sex and Gender Medical Education Summit was received from the American Medical Women's Association, the Laura W. Bush Institute for Women's Health, Mayo Clinic's Office of Women's Health, the Society for Women's Health Research, the Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center School of Medicine, the Sex and Gender Women's Health Collaborative, Verizon, Brown University Division of Sex and Gender in Emergency Medicine, and Duke School of Medicine Office of Diversity and Inclusion. Publication of this article was funded by the Sex and Gender Medical Education Summit.

Availability of data and materials

Raw data from the surveys will be made available upon request.

Authors' contributions

RC developed the concept for the Summit, developed the program content, assisted with the implementation, and drafted and edited the manuscript. ELC developed the concept for the Summit, developed the program content, garnered support, implemented the operational plan, led the manuscript development, and drafted and edited the manuscript. MH assisted with the implementation and drafted and edited the manuscript. WSK developed the concept for the Summit, developed the program content, garnered support, assisted with the implementation, and edited the manuscript. MJ developed the concept for the Summit, developed the program content, garnered support, implemented the operational plan, developed the conceptual approach, drafted and edited the manuscript, and provided final review of the manuscript. AJM developed the concept for the Summit, developed the program content, garnered support, assisted with the implementation, and drafted and edited the manuscript. VMM developed the concept for the Summit, developed the program content, garnered support, implemented the operational plan, and edited the manuscript. MKR assisted with the implementation and drafted and edited the manuscript. KT developed the concept for the Summit, developed the program content, garnered support, assisted with the implementation, and drafted and edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Consent for publication

All authors agree to the publication of this manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Author details

¹American Medical Women's Association, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA. ²Laura W. Bush Institute for Women's Health, Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center, Amarillo, TX, USA. ³Division of Sex and Gender in Emergency Medicine, Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Providence, RI, USA. ⁴Center for Urban Research and Learning, Loyola University Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA. ⁵American Medical Women's Association, Orthopedic Surgery, University of Kansas School of Medicine, Kansas City, KS, USA. ⁶Obstetrics and Gynecology, Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center, Lubbock, TX, USA. ⁷VCU Institute for Women's Health, Virginia Commonwealth University School of Medicine, Richmond, VA, USA. ⁸Women's Health Research Center, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA.

Published: 14 October 2016

References

- Council on Graduate Medical Education. Fifth report: women & medicine: physician education in women's health and women in the physician workforce. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Health Resources and Services; 1995 July. Pub. No. HRSA-P-DM-91-1.
- Wizemann TM, Pardue ML. Exploring the biological contributions to human health: does sex matter? Board on Health Sciences Policy. Washington, DC: Institute of Medicine; 2001.
- NIH Guide. NIH Guidelines on the inclusion of women and minorities as subjects in clinical research. 1994;23(11). Available from: http://grants.nih. gov/grants/quide/notice-files/not94-100.html [Accessed 16 May 2016].
- Our introductory discussion of sex and gender refers to both variables as a binary system. However, intersex is an another category for sex in addition to male and female. Kaneshiro NK. Intersex. Bethesda: U.S. National Library of Medicine MedlinePlus Medical Encyclopedia. [cited 2016 Jan 12]. Available from https://www.nlm.nih.gov/medlineplus/ency/article/001669.htm.
- 5. Connell RW. Gender. Cambridge U.K and Malden. MA: Polity Press; 2002.
- Gender identity falls along a continuum and includes transgender, while gender expression varies based on social context. Bockting WO. From construction to context: gender through the eyes of the transgendered. SIECUS Report. 1999;1:3–7.
- McSweeney JC, Cody M, O'Sullivan P, Elberson K, Moser DK, Garvin BJ. Women's early warning symptoms of acute myocardial infarction. Circulation. 2003;108(21):2619–23.
- Khan NA, Daskalopoulou SS, Karp I, et al. Sex differences in acute coronary syndrome symptom presentation in young patients. JAMA Intern Med. 2013;173(20):1863–71.
- Mehta LS, Beckie TM, DeVon HA, Grines CL, Krumholz HM, Johnson MN, Lindley KJ, Vaccarino V, Wang TY, Watson KE, Wenger NK, American Heart Association Cardiovascular Disease in Women and Special Populations Committee of the Council on Clinical Cardiology, Council on Epidemiology and Prevention, Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing, and Council on Quality of Care and Outcomes Research. Acute myocardial infarction in women: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2016;133(9):916–47.
- Haughom BD, Erickson BJ, Hellman MD, Jacobs JJ. Do complication rates differ by gender after metal-on-metal hip resurfacing arthroplasty? A systematic review. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2015;473(8):2521–9.
- Maas AH, Appelman Y. Gender differences in coronary heart disease. Neth Heart J. 2010;18(12):598–602.
- von Friesendorff M, McGuigan FE, Besjakov J, Akesson K. Hip fracture in men—survival and subsequent fractures: a cohort study with 22-year follow-up. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2011;59(5):806–13.
- Government Accountability Office. Drug safety: most drugs withdrawn in recent years had greater health risks for women. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health & Human Services; 2001 Jan. Report No.: GAO-010286R.
- Data from Marjorie Jenkins, MD, Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center. Manuscript under preparation.

- Miller VM, Rice MR, Schiebinger L, Jenkins MR, Werbinski J, Nunez A, Wood S, Viggiano TR, Shuster LT. Embedding concepts of sex and gender health differences into medical curricula. J Womens Health. 2013;22(3):201.
- Jenkins M, Chin EL, Rojek M, Edgell D, Hoggatt M, editors. Sex and gender medical education summit: a roadmap for curricular innovation. Program Proceedings; 2015
- McGregor AJ, Núñez A, Barron R, Casanova R, Chin EL. Utilization of sex and gender based medical education resources and creating student competencies: a summit workshop summary. Biology of Sex Differences. doi:10.1186/s13293-016-0092-8.
- Ludwig S, Oerfelt-Prigione S, Kurmeyer C, Gross M, Gruters-Kieslich A, Regitz-Zagrosek V, Peters H. A successful strategy to integrate sex and gender medicine into a newly developed medical curriculum. J Womens Health. 2015;24(12):996–1005.
- Kotter JP. Leading change, with a new preface by the author. Boston: Harvard Business Press; 2013.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

